



Comhpháirtíocht Leader Waterford Leader
Phort Láirge Partnership

Decision of Evaluation Committee

Project ID	31LDRWAT106393
Project Type:	Training
Project Name:	Training to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture
Promoters Name:	Waterford Leader Partnership CLG
Address:	Lismore Business Park, Lismore, Co. Waterford
Description:	The project is seeking funds to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture
Theme:	Enterprise Development
Sub-theme:	Training to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture
Link to LDS	LO2/SA 2.5 Fostering a local enterprise culture

Total Project Costs	€8,000		
Eligible Project Costs	€8,000		
Funding sought by promoter:	€8,000		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€8,000		
Details of private funding:	None		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	The project will encourage more community/village groups to adapt an enterprise culture in running and developing their community facilities. Community groups struggle with the day to day running of their facilities with rising operating costs and sometimes limited ability to hire out facilities to third parties particularly in very rural areas. The training will encourage more villages to think strategically in planning to invest or indeed develop community facilities in some rural areas with limited foot fall and to explore other revenue creating activities to support community facilities		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 100%

	Training	€8,000	€8,000
Total Funding		€8,000	€8,000
Was an assessment completed?: Date: __9th January 2018 _____	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes and direct tendering was used and the MEAT process used to select the winning supplier		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	Not applicable		
Innovative Element of the project:	The training is new and the approach innovative in that it is based on a model undertaken elsewhere that proved very successful		
Consideration of Deadweight:	The project could not proceed without funding as is an approved action within the Waterford LCDC local development strategy.		
Consideration of Displacement:	Displacement is not applicable as the project solely involves training where no alternative training is available to rural communities.		
Economic justification for project:	The training will encourage more villages to think strategically in planning to invest		
Average Score for Project:	80/100		
% level of aid & ceiling:	100% of total project costs of €8,000		
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding	The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 1. The Evaluation Committee considered funding this project a good use of Rural Development funds as it will encourage groups to think more strategically in planning to invest or develop community facilities in rural area with limited footfall and to explore other revenue crating activities to support the facilities. Recommendation: A maximum of €8,000 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.		

Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
Na	Na	Na	Na

Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.	Date of Confirmation	Signed By
NA as an approved action taken from the LDS		
Trainer cost	€8,000	€8,000

Detail of Discussion: The following were the mains points raised in the discussion of this project:-

- Mr Jimmy Taaffe briefly outlined the project to the Evaluation Committee, as the Project Staff involved in project evaluation and administration process, noting that it is an in-house project. The objective of the training is to support 4 village groups to foster a local enterprise culture
- The Evaluation Committee considered this a good use of Rural Development funding as it will encourage more community/village groups to adapt an enterprise culture in running and developing their community facilities.
- It was agreed that the training will encourage groups to think more strategically in planning to invest or develop community facilities in rural area with limited footfall and to explore other revenue crating activities to support the facilities.
- The project fits the LDS LO2 Enterprise Development SA 2.5.

Recommendation: A maximum of €8,000 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.

Amount Recommended:€8,000	100% of Eligible Project Funding:€8,000
----------------------------------	--

Signed: _____

Signed: _____

Chairperson of Evaluation Committee

Committee Member

Date: _____

Date: _____

Issues / Queries raised by Evaluation Committee	Project Officers Response

Signed: _____ J _____

Dated: __17th April '18_____

WLP Project Officer

Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 9th April 2018

Time: 11am to 3pm

Project Title: Training to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture

Project Reference Number: 31LDRWAT106393

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>9</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>3</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>3</u>		19	15
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>14</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>14</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>4</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>7</u>		25	19
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>5</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>5</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>5</u>		25	21

	- Deadweight	6/25 <u>6</u>			
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?			13	11
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?			18	14
Total				100	25

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

• Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~

• i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? YES / ~~NO~~

Append

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: _____

Signature: _____

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 9/4/2018

Denise Walsh

From: pclerkin@cablesurf.com
Sent: Tuesday 17 April 2018 15:36
To: Denise Walsh
Subject: Minutes April 9 2018
Attachments: Minutes 9 4 18-1.doc

Denise,

I have reviewed the attached draft minutes and I am in agreement with them.

Regards,

Paul Clerkin
Chairman of Evaluation Committee

