



Comhpháirtíocht Leader Waterford Leader
Phort Láirge Partnership

Decision of Evaluation Committee

Project ID	31LDRWAT106844
Project Type:	Capital
Project Name:	Carbally Youth Project
Promoters Name:	Carbally Community Development
Address:	Rathmoylean, Dunmore East, Co. Waterford
Description:	Upgrades needed to the centre to maximise the space and activities to be undertaken by the youth. Supply and install G shaped kitchen including sink and removing old kitchen area including plumbing and all electrical works. Supply and install an electrically operated roller shutter door and install windows at each side of stairs, upgrade sanitary ware, toilets and hand basins, supply ground and first floor coverings. Supply and install LED low energy lighting to first and ground floor and upgrade distribution board to facilitate new lighting.
Theme:	Social Inclusion
Sub-theme:	Rural Youth
Link to LDS	Objective 6, Strategic Action 6.2

Total Project Costs	€53,570.87		
Eligible Project Costs	€53,570.87		
Funding sought by promoter:	€40,178.15		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€40,178.15		
Details of private funding:	€13,392.72 Cash/Money in bank		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	Capital investment in upgrades to the Carbally Community Centre to support youth activities and improve facilities		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 75 %
	Upgrades	€53,570.87	€40,178.15
		€53,570.87	€40,178.15
Total Funding			
Was an assessment completed?: Date: <u>9th May 2018</u>	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the	Yes, etendering used & lowest value tender selected		

project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	Not applicable
Innovative Element of the project:	It was agreed that the project is innovative in that it wishes to enhance the users experience by improving the facility thereby gaining greater youth participation.
Consideration of Deadweight:	The Evaluation Committee agreed that deadweight is not an issue as without funding this project will not proceed.
Consideration of Displacement:	Displacement is not an issue as funding is going towards supporting an existing centre that services the needs of young people from the locality and the surrounding neighbourhoods. It is the only centre within 15km with 4 acres of land surrounding the centre which lends itself to youth activities.
Economic justification for project:	The project fits in with the Co Waterford LCDC Plan for underpinning social inclusion initiatives in rural areas through investment in physical and human capital.
Average Score for Project:	73/100
% level of aid & ceiling:	A maximum of €40,178.15 or 75 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding	The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- - The project fits the Co Waterford Local Community Development Plan Local Objective 6 Strategic Action 6.2 for investment support to help develop youth service delivery in areas with little provision. - The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as funding will improve facilities for rural youths. The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project with the following condition:- - Promoters to undergo development support / mentoring for future development of project. A maximum of €40,178.15 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.

Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
NA	NA	€13,392.72	0

Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.	Date of Confirmation	Signed By
FLAG	15th May 18	John Hickey

Detail of Discussion: The following were the main points raised in the discussion of the project:-

- Claire Connors noted that the application was received under the same call as the previous application and while both are community centres they applied under separate budgets; Dunmore East applied under Basic Service and Carbally under Rural Youth. Carbally could be considered under both budgets but review to see if it meets the criteria for youth.
- The Evaluation Committee queried the Adult & Youth membership of the centre? Ms Connors replied that it is very difficult to get actual figures but in terms of breakdown there are a lot of youths using the facility. The centre is not solely for the use of youths and is used by the wider community also.
- A Committee Member noted the small population questioning if youth groups from surrounding areas avail of the facilities?
- It was confirmed that youths from Dunmore East & Tramore use the centre and that it is also used by Scout Groups.
- It was noted that there was expenditure of €5,300 in 2017 for youth equipment.
- The promoter's ability for funding raising was praised and the potential of the site was noted. Ms Connors advised that the Committee is fairly new and with mentoring could develop a tourism project on the site.
- The Evaluation Committee agreed that the facility would not survive based solely on youth activities but noted that the majority of activities undertaken are for the youth.
- The Committee agree that this is a good project providing activities including a youth club for young people in a rural area.

The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project with the following condition:-

- Promoters to undergo development support / mentoring for future development of project.

A maximum of €40,178.15 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.

Amount Recommended: €40,178.15

75% of Eligible Project Funding: €53,570.87

Signed: _____

Signed: _____

Chairperson of Evaluation Committee

Committee Member

Date: _____

Date: _____

Issues / Queries raised by Evaluation Committee	Project Officers Response

Signed: Claire Connors

Dated: 21st May 2018

WLP Project Officer

Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 14th May 2018

Time: 11am to 2pm

Project Title: Carbally Youth Group

Project Reference Number: 31LDRWAT106844

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>48</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>4</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>1</u>		19	14
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>3</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>4</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>3</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>4</u>		25	15
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>4</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>4</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>4</u>		25	20

	- Deadweight	6/25 5'		
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?		13	9
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?		18	14
Total			100	72

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / NO
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? YES / NO

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: Approval subject to re-bidding on development of project

Signature: [Signature]

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 14th May 2018

Denise Walsh

From: pclerkin@cablesurf.com
Sent: Tuesday 5 June 2018 11:02
To: Denise Walsh
Subject: Draft minutes May 14 2018

Dear Denise,

I confirm that I am in agreement with the Draft minutes attached for the Evaluation Committee Meeting held on 14th May 2018.

Paul Clerkin

