

Minutes of the Waterford LAG Meeting:

Thursday June 14th 2018 in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Waterford at 11.00 a.m.

Present:

Non Public Sector:

Public Sector

1. Senan Cooke	PPN / Community & Voluntary	1. Cllr. P. Nugent Chair	Mayor Public Rep
2. Gabriel Foley	PPN / Environment	2. Cllr. T. Cronin	Elected representative
3. Niall O'Brien	PPN / Community & Voluntary	3. Derval Howley	Head of Wellbeing HSE
4. Joe Stokes	CEO – Waterford Area Partnership	4. Michael Walsh	Chief Exec WCCC
5. Jenny Beresford	CEO Dungarvan & West Waterford Chamber	5. Vincent Daly	Mgr. Tusla
6. Catherine Quinlan	I.C.M.S.A	6. Liam Daly	Reg. Mgr. Dept Social Protection
7. Heather Kiely	PPN/Community & Voluntary	7. Kevin Lewis	CEO WWETB
8. Maggie Flanagan	PPN / Community & Voluntary		
Apologies:		Apologies:	
1. Gerald Hurley	CEP –WLP CLG	1. Richie Walsh	Head of LEO
2. Jimmy Taaffe	CEO – WLP CLG	2. Cllr. Eamon Quinlan	Elected representative

Staff Present: Ivan Grimes Director of Services A/C.O., E. Hickey S.O., K. Moynihan J. Codd, A.S.O.

Apologies: As detailed above; D. Tuohy Chief Officer

1.Total current Membership	19
2.Total attendees	15
3. Quorum % required (50%)	Achieved – 79%
4. non-public/public ratio attendees % ratio non-public/public attendees	8 non-public : 7 public 53% non-public: 47% public

1. **Confirmation of Quorum:** Having reviewed the attendance record, I. Grimes A/Chief Officer confirmed that a quorum was achieved. The Chair, Cllr. P. Nugent declared the meeting could commence. Having considered the ratio of non-public and public sector attendees present, the Chair also confirmed the representational balance present enabled valid decision making.

2. **Apologies** as detailed above were noted by the Committee

3. **Confirmation of Minutes of LAG Meeting held on 10th May 2018.**

Regarding Project ID 31LDRWAT106172 – Nire Cabin Company Ltd, it was confirmed that G. Foley seconded this project and not J. Beresford as indicated. Noted.

Proposed: G. Foley

Seconded: C. Quinlan

APPROVED

4. **Matters arising:**

None

5. **Declaration of Conflict of Interest**

Having given full consideration to the provision pertaining to conflict of interest the Committee members present declared a Nil interest in the items coming before them for consideration.

6. **Circulars** – None

7. **Expressions of Interest** - None

8. **Rural Development Programme**

(a) Projects recommended by the IEC for Approval

The following projects were recommended by the Independent Evaluation Committee at their meetings held on 26th March 2018 and 9th April 2018 respectively. Ms. Angeline Drennan provided an overview of each project and answered queries arising. The following projects were agreed for funding by the members as detailed hereunder:-

Project ID	31LDRWAT105218
Project Type:	Capital
Project Name:	Fisherman's Hall Development
Promoters Name:	Fisherman's Hall Development Committee & Trustees
Address:	Fornaught, Dunmore East, Co. Waterford
Description:	The project is seeking funding for the refurbishment of the Fishermans Hall in Dunmore East. It will include the construction of a meeting room and associated kitchen, a store room, a unisex toilet and disabled toilet along with connecting doors to the existing structure. The work will include upgrading the existing

	toilets, hall and crèche at the north end of the building. Included shall be electrical and mechanical services to the extension and a new fire alarm installation for the complete building.		
Theme:	Social Inclusion		
Sub-theme:	Basic services targeted at hard to reach communities		
Link to LDS	Objective 5, Strategic Action 5.1 - one of the villages included in the LDS lacking community facilities		
Total Project Costs	€202,911.88		
Eligible Project Costs	€202,911.88		
Funding sought by promoter:	€152,183.91		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€152,183.91		
Details of private funding:	€50,727.97 Cash/Money in account		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	Capital investment in basic upgrades to the Fisherman's Hall in Dunmore East, the only community facility in the village		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 75%
	Refurbishment	€202,911.88	€152,183.91
Total Funding		€202,911.88	€152,183.91
Was an assessment completed?: Date: __4th May 2018__	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes, etendering used and QS report used to select tenderer		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	Not applicable		
Innovative Element of the project:	It was agreed that the project is innovative in that it wishes to enhance the users experience by improving the facility for all users.		

Consideration of Deadweight:		The Evaluation Committee agreed that deadweight is not an issue as without funding this project will not proceed.	
Consideration of Displacement:		Displacement is not an issue as funding is going towards supporting an existing community centre that services the needs of all the community. There is no alternative community facility in the village as the Fisherman's Hall was intended as the sole community facility when it was built and gifted to the fishermen and later the trustees	
Economic justification for project:		The project fits in with the Co Waterford LCDC Plan for underpinning social inclusion initiatives in rural areas through investment in physical and human capital.	
Average Score for Project:		82/100	
% level of aid & ceiling:		75% Of €202,911.88, maximum grant of €152,183.91	
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- - The project fits the Co Waterford Local Community Development Plan Local Objective 5 Strategic Action 5.1 for investment support into community service delivery hubs. - The promoters have the experience and capability to deliver the project successfully. - The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as funding will support social inclusion by sustaining the hall and allow for the adapting needs of the growing community. The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend this project as presented. A maximum of €152,183.91 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
NA	NA	€50,727.97	€9,576.56
Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.			Signed By
FLAG			John Hickey
Amount Recommended:€152,183.91			75% of Eligible Project Funding: €202,911.88
Proposed by: Maggie Flanagan			Seconded by: Heather Kiely
Abstentions: None			Non-Public 8 : Public 7
Quorum achieved: YES			APPROVED

Project ID	31LDRWAT106844
Project Type:	Capital
Project Name:	Carbally Youth Project
Promoters Name:	Carbally Community Development
Address:	Rathmoylan, Dunmore East, Co. Waterford
Description:	Upgrades needed to the centre to maximise the space and activities to be undertaken by the youth. Supply and install G shaped kitchen including sink and removing old kitchen area including plumbing and all electrical works. Supply and install an electrically operated roller shutter door and install windows at each side of stairs, upgrade sanitary ware, toilets and hand basins, supply ground and first floor coverings. Supply and install LED low energy lighting to first and ground floor and upgrade distribution board to facilitate new lighting.
Theme:	Social Inclusion
Sub-theme:	Rural Youth
Link to LDS	Objective 6, Strategic Action 6.2

Total Project Costs	€53,570.87		
Eligible Project Costs	€53,570.87		
Funding sought by promoter:	€40,178.15		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€40,178.15		
Details of private funding:	€13,392.72 Cash/Money in bank		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	Capital investment in upgrades to the Carbally Community Centre to support youth activities and improve facilities		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 75 %
	Upgrades	€53,570.87	€40,178.15
Total Funding		€53,570.87	€40,178.15
Was an assessment completed?: Date: ___9 th May 2018	Yes		

Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?		Yes, etendering used & lowest value tender selected	
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:		Not applicable	
Innovative Element of the project:		It was agreed that the project is innovative in that it wishes to enhance the users experience by improving the facility thereby gaining greater youth participation.	
Consideration of Deadweight:		The Evaluation Committee agreed that deadweight is not an issue as without funding this project will not proceed.	
Consideration of Displacement:		Displacement is not an issue as funding is going towards supporting an existing centre that services the needs of young people from the locality and the surrounding neighbourhoods. It is the only centre within 15km with 4 acres of land surrounding the centre which lends itself to youth activities.	
Economic justification for project:		The project fits in with the Co Waterford LCDC Plan for underpinning social inclusion initiatives in rural areas through investment in physical and human capital.	
Average Score for Project:		73/100	
% level of aid & ceiling:		A maximum of €40,178.15 or 75 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- - The project fits the Co Waterford Local Community Development Plan Local Objective 6 Strategic Action 6.2 for investment support to help develop youth service delivery in areas with little provision. - The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as funding will improve facilities for rural youths. The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project with the following condition:- - Promoters to undergo development support / mentoring for future development of project. A maximum of €40,178.15 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received

NA	NA	€13,392.72	0
Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.		Date of Confirmation	Signed By
FLAG		15th May 18	John Hickey
Amount Recommended: €40,178.15		75% of Eligible Project Funding: €53,570.87	
Proposed by: Gabriel Foley		Seconded by: Derval Howley	
Abstentions: None		Non-Public 8 : Public 7	
Quorum achieved: YES		APPROVED	

Project ID	31LDRWAT106582
Project Type:	Social Enterprise
Project Name:	Villierstown Enterprise Centre-Part Development
Promoters Name:	Villierstown Community Development Clg
Address:	Villierstown, Co. Waterford
Description:	The project involves the building of a community enterprise facility in a brown field site in the middle of the village of Villierstown. This is innovative in that it will provide a modern facility to house mobile workers. It is also innovative in that it is using a derelict brown field site in the middle of the village. This will deal with dereliction in the heart of the village.
Theme:	Local Objective 3 The revitalisation of Local Villages through the development of Human Capital and Capital Investment in two Infrastructural projects
Sub-theme:	Rural Towns SA 3.2 Capital investment in the development of one new Industrial/Office facility in a rural village
Link to LDS	L.O. 3, Strategic Action 3.2

Total Project Costs	€447,054.94 €178,200 or 39.86% of eligible expenditure.
Eligible Project Costs	€447,054.94 €178,200 or 39.86% of eligible expenditure
Funding sought by promoter:	€178,200 or 39.86% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser amount.
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	A maximum of €178,200 or 39.86% of eligible expenditure
Details of private funding:	Cash/Money in account 200,000 Loan/Bridging Finance 68,854.94

	(Loan 80K)		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	The project involves the building of a community enterprise facility in a brown field site in the middle of the village of Villierstown by a Local Volunteer led development group. They have worked collaboratively with the Council, State Agencies and the Private Sector to bring this project forward. It is a Social Enterprise project. This is innovative in that it will provide a modern facility to house mobile workers. It is also innovative in that it is using a derelict brown field site in the middle of the village. This will deal with dereliction in the heart of the village.		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funded (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 39.86 %
	Construction of Enterprise Centre	447,054.94	€178,200 or 39.86 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.
		447,054.94	€178,200 or 39.86 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.
Total Funding			
Was an assessment completed?: Date: _____	13/03/2018		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes. The costings were obtained through an E-Tenders Process vetted by a qualified Architect and 5 tenders were received. The Evaluation Committee agreed that the costs are reasonable as the lowest quote was chosen.		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	N/A		
Innovative Element of the project:	The project is innovative in that it is using a derelict brown field site in the middle of the village.		
Consideration of Deadweight:	The project will not be able to proceed without grant aid.		
Consideration of Displacement:	The Evaluation Committee agreed that this project will not cause displacement but will provide a facility that is lacking in the village.		
Economic justification for project:	Funding this project will provide a modern office facility to allow distance working for Cork based employees.		
Average Score for Project:	67/100		
% level of aid & ceiling:	A maximum of €178,200 or 39.86% of eligible expenditure,		

		whichever is the lesser.			
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- - Funding this project will provide a modern office facility to allow distance working for Cork based employees. This will be a pilot scheme for the County. - It was agreed that the promoters are very capable and reliable and it was suggested that if funded it should be conditional that they existing committee members remain in place for the required five years after the project is funded. - The project fits the Co Waterford Local Development Plan under Local Objective 3 Strategic Action 3.2 The Evaluation Committee agreed that they are happy to recommend supporting this project with the following conditions:- • Montessori School - Funding is conditional on promoters receiving POBAL funding of €70,000 to enable School to relocate. • Rent Generation - Promoters must actively market the enterprise centre and report its activities to WLP every 6 months. • Mentoring – Promoters to receive mentoring on marketing the project to ensure adequate rental income. A maximum €178,200 or 39.86 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.			
		Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
		3		Yes	€21,800
		Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.		Date of Confirmation	Signed By
		LEO		14/03/2018	Brid Kirby
Failte Ireland		14/03/2018	Hilary Creedon		
Proposed by: Senan Cooke		Seconded by: Catherine Quinlan			
Abstentions: None		Non-Public 8 : Public 7			
Quorum achieved: YES		APPROVED			

Project ID	31LDRWAT106967		
Project Type:	Environment Bio-diversity training		
Project Name:	Bio Diversity Training		
Promoters Name:	Waterford Leader Partnership		
Address:	Mayfield Road, Lismore, Co. Waterford.		
Description:	The project will provide Bio Diversity Training for up to 12 Groups throughout the County		
Theme:	Local Objective 7 The promotion of greater environmental awareness through training and exemplar projects		
Sub-theme:	Rural Environment SA 7.1 Training Initiatives for Local Communities on the need for & benefits of Bio-diversity		
Link to LDS	L.O. 7		
Total Project Costs	€10,300		
Eligible Project Costs	€10,300		
Funding sought by promoter:	€10,300		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	A maximum of €10,300 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser		
Details of private funding:	None		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	The purpose of this project is to provide quality introduction to local people and groups in Co Waterford as to the need for Bio Diversity and how they as citizens and volunteers can undertake actions to promote bio diversity. It is envisioned that up to 20 people will be trained and will be particularly important to Tidy Towns groups going forward		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ %
	Bio Diversity Training	€10,300	100%
		€10,300	100%
Total Funding			
Was an assessment completed?: Date: _14/03/2018	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for	Yes – This project followed Category 1 – National Procurement Guidelines – Project was put out for direct tender		

the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?			
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:		N/A	
Innovative Element of the project:		This project is innovative in that it will be introducing Tidy Towns groups and community organisations to Bio Diversity.	
Consideration of Deadweight:		This project will not go ahead without funding	
Consideration of Displacement:		No other community orientated Bio Diversity training is being offered in the County at this time.	
Economic justification for project:		Bio Diversity Training is core to positively improving climatic effects	
Average Score for Project:		79/100	
% level of aid & ceiling:		100%	
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 1. The Evaluation Committee agreed that this project fits the Co Waterford Local Development Strategy for training under the Rural Environment Theme. 2. The Evaluation Committee expressed the opinion that the project will meet the needs of volunteer groups in terms of understanding Bio Diversity in a local context. Recommendation: A maximum of €10,300 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
None	None	N/A	N/A
Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.		Date of Confirmation	Signed By
Bernadette Guest		18/04/2018	B Guest
Amount Recommended: A maximum of €10,300 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.		100% of Eligible Project Funding:	
Proposed by: Jenny Beresford		Seconded by: Maggie Flanagan	
Abstentions: None		Non-Public 8 : Public 7	
Quorum achieved: YES		APPROVED	

Project ID	31LDRWAT106391		
Project Type:	Training		
Project Name:	Training to develop a social enterprise network in East & West Waterford		
Promoters Name:	Waterford Leader Partnership CLG		
Address:	Lismore Business Park, Lismore, Co. Waterford		
Description:	The project is seeking funds to train five existing community groups from East and West Waterford who are already involved in a social enterprise activity to strengthen the groups and ensure their continued success		
Theme:	Enterprise Development		
Sub-theme:	Training to develop a social enterprise network		
Link to LDS	LO2/SA 2.4 Training/Facilitation to develop an organised social enterprise network		
Total Project Costs	€13,000		
Eligible Project Costs	€13,000		
Funding sought by promoter:	€13,000		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€13,000		
Details of private funding:	None		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	To set up a social enterprise network for existing social enterprise communities in East and West Waterford		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 100%
	Training	€13,000	€13,000
	Total Funding	€13,000	€13,000
Was an assessment completed?: Date: __9th January 2018__	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes and direct tendering was used and the MEAT process used to select the winning supplier		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the	Not applicable		

reasonableness of costs:			
Innovative Element of the project:		The project is unique in that it is targeting five existing community organisations from East and West Waterford who are already providing services mainly to the State Sector for a fee or have the potential to raise revenue from a commercial activity attached to their community project/facility. Mobilising such groups to form a network to ensure the vibrancy and viability of the participants in the future	
Consideration of Deadweight:		The project could not proceed without funding as it is an approved action within the Waterford LCDC local development strategy.	
Consideration of Displacement:		Displacement is not applicable as the project solely involves training where no alternative training is available to rural communities.	
Economic justification for project:		The project will improve the modus operandi of all the participating groups ensuring they remain vibrant and sustainable	
Average Score for Project:		78/100	
% level of aid & ceiling:		100% of total project costs of €13,000	
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 3. The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as it ensures the continued vibrancy of community groups. Recommendation: A maximum of €13,000 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
Na	Na	Na	Na
Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.			Date of Confirmation
NA as an approved action taken from the LDS			
Amount Recommended:€13,000		100% of Eligible Project Funding:€13,000	
Proposed by: Derval Howley		Seconded by: Gabriel Foley	
Abstentions: None		Non-Public 8 : Public 7	
Quorum achieved: YES		APPROVED	

Project ID	31LDRWAT106393		
Project Type:	Training		
Project Name:	Training to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture		
Promoters Name:	Waterford Leader Partnership CLG		
Address:	Lismore Business Park, Lismore, Co. Waterford		
Description:	The project is seeking funds to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture		
Theme:	Enterprise Development		
Sub-theme:	Training to support village groups foster a local enterprise culture		
Link to LDS	LO2/SA 2.5 Fostering a local enterprise culture		
Total Project Costs	€8,000		
Eligible Project Costs	€8,000		
Funding sought by promoter:	€8,000		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€8,000		
Details of private funding:	None		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	The project will encourage more community/village groups to adapt an enterprise culture in running and developing their community facilities. Community groups struggle with the day to day running of their facilities with rising operating costs and sometimes limited ability to hire out facilities to third parties particularly in very rural areas. The training will encourage more villages to think strategically in planning to invest or indeed develop community facilities in some rural areas with limited foot fall and to explore other revenue creating activities to support community facilities		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 100%
	Training	€8,000	€8,000
	Total Funding	€8,000	€8,000
Was an assessment completed?: Date: __9th January 2018	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for	Yes and direct tendering was used and the MEAT process used to select the winning supplier		

the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?			
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:		Not applicable	
Innovative Element of the project:		The training is new and the approach innovative in that it is based on a model undertaken elsewhere that proved very successful	
Consideration of Deadweight:		The project could not proceed without funding as is an approved action within the Waterford LCDC local development strategy.	
Consideration of Displacement:		Displacement is not applicable as the project solely involves training where no alternative training is available to rural communities.	
Economic justification for project:		The training will encourage more villages to think strategically in planning to invest	
Average Score for Project:		80/100	
% level of aid & ceiling:		100% of total project costs of €8,000	
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding		The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 4. The Evaluation Committee considered funding this project a good use of Rural Development funds as it will encourage groups to think more strategically in planning to invest or develop community facilities in rural area with limited footfall and to explore other revenue creating activities to support the facilities. Recommendation: A maximum of €8,000 or 100% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.	
Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
Na	Na	Na	Na
Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.		Date of Confirmation	Signed By
NA as an approved action taken from the LDS			
Trainer cost		€8,000	€8,000
Amount Recommended:€8,000		100% of Eligible Project Funding:€8,000	
Proposed by: J. Beresford		Seconded by: M. Walsh	
Abstentions: None		Non-Public 8 : Public 7	

Quorum achieved: YES	APPROVED
-----------------------------	-----------------

(b) For approval: Blackwater Eco Tours 31LDRWAT103938– changes in expenditure

- A. Drennan A/CEO WLP referred to the 3 no. items originally included in the Letter of Offer pertaining to the above project that will not be purchased. Consequently there will be a reduction in expenditure. The members reviewed the breakdown of the expenditure details outlined below and noted that

the total funding sought by the promoter will remain below the amount agreed in the letter of offer together with the following changes in the various categories of expenditure;

Item	Cost Incl. VAT per Letter of Offer €	Revised Item	Revised Cost Incl VAT €	Difference €
Whalley 435R	3,548.55	Whalley 435R+A- Frame+Safety Railing	5,442.75	1,894.20
A-Frame including Navigation Lights	1,008.60	n/a	-	1,008.60
25*Life Jackets	1,537.50	20*Life Jackets	1,230.00	307.50
3*Cobra Handheld Radios	608.85	3*VHF Radios	922.50	313.65
3*Batteries	553.50	3*Batteries plus Cables	701.10	147.60
Cables	110.70	n/a	-	110.70
Steering Console/Storage Space	393.60	n/a	-	393.60
Bench/Rowing Seat with Storage	412.05	n/a	-	412.05
3*Sets of Alternator Cable	129.15	n/a	-	129.15
	8,302.50		8,296.35	6.15

Overall there will be a reduction in Expenditure

-
6.15

Proposed by: Heather Kiely	Seconded by: Gabriel Foley
Abstentions: None	Non-Public 8 : Public 7

Quorum achieved: YES	APPROVED
-----------------------------	-----------------

(c) Summary of Waterford projects approved at 30.05.2018

The members noted the project details contained in the schedule before the meeting.
No queries arose. NOTED.

(d) Angeline Drennan advised that having received approval for funding rate changes at the May LAG meeting for projects awarded maximum funding, the Department system since revised the online funding rates to five decimal point changes. Additional approval as detailed hereunder was sought;

- Comeragh Amenity Area 31LDRWAT103399 Approved €200,000 based on 46.14973% of total Project costs €433,372
Letter of offer funding rate is 46% needs to be revised to 46.14973%
- Dunhill Community Hall 31LDRWAT103347 Approved €200,000 based on 72.74479% of total project costs €274,933.70
Letter of offer funding rate is 73% needs to be revised to 72.74479%
- Blackwater Distillery 31LDRWAT103411 Approved €200,000 based on 39.12876% of total project costs €511,133
Letter of offer funding rate is 39% needs to be revised to 39.12876%
- Glasha Hydro Project 31LDRWAT103407 Approved €200,000 based on 38.75654% of total project costs €516,042
Letter of offer funding rate is 39% needs to be revised to 38.75654%
- Streamline 31LDRWAT103353 Approved €200,000 based on 43.57298% of total project costs €459,000
Letter of offer funding rate is 44% needs to be revised to 43.57298%
- Fibre laser profiling CNC machine with expanded capabilities 31LDRWAT106368 Approved €200,000 based on 43.01075% of total project costs €465,000
Letter of offer funding rate is 43.0107% needs to be revised to 43.01075%

Proposed by: Jenny Beresford	Seconded by: Liam Daly
Abstentions: None	Non-Public 8 : Public 7
Quorum achieved: YES	APPROVED

(e) Fibre Laser Profiling Machine 31LDRWAT106368 – clarification sought on second charge

The members reviewed the document outlining the background.

Having regard to precedent and best practice, the Members' approval was sought to confirm to Waterford LEADER Partnership's solicitor that the second charge is to be placed on the equipment being funded rather than the title documents of the company's property.

Proposed by: Gabriel Foley	Seconded by: Michael Walsh
Abstentions: None	Non-Public 8 : Public 7
Quorum achieved: YES	APPROVED

Correspondence None noted

A.O.B.

Details of next meeting.

Date and Time: Thursday July 12th, Council Chamber, Dungarvan at 11.00a.m.

Meeting Closed

Signed:

Chairperson:

Chief Officer to the LAG

Date

Date