



Comhpháirtíocht Leader Waterford Leader
Phort Láirge Partnership

Decision of Evaluation Committee

Project ID	31LDRWAT108650
Project Type:	Capital
Project Name:	Development of woodland walk and gardens within Carriglea II
Promoters Name:	Carriglea Community Project CLG
Address:	Carriglea, Dungarvan
Description:	Funding sought for phase II of the woodland walk at Carriglea to invest in more materials and services of a structural nature to build on the work completed to date enhancing and developing new attractions
Theme:	LO 1 Rural Tourism
Sub-theme:	Activity Tourism SA 1.1
Link to LDS	Promoting activity tourism in County Waterford

Total Project Costs	€20,700.65		
Eligible Project Costs	€20,700.65		
Funding sought by promoter:	€15,525.48		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€15,525.48		
Details of private funding:	€5,175.17 in house funds		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	Funding sought for phase II of the woodland walk at Carriglea to invest in more materials and services of a structural nature to build on the work completed to date enhancing and developing new attractions. The promoter is providing training and development opportunities for unemployed through a range of project actions. The work itself will be carried out by CE participants who will benefit from the horticultural and landscaping nature of the work.		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 75%
	Durable Timber	4,543.13	3,407.35
	Site clearance/landscaping	4,800	3,600
	Footpath with kerbing	1,700	1,275

Total Funding	Materials, stone, sand, cement, lime	4,899.82	3,674.86
	Equipment	4,757.70	3,568.27
	Total	€20,700.65	€15,525.48
Was an assessment completed?: Date: <u>14/01/2019</u>	Yes		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes, direct tendering used and the most economic advantageous tender process used to select suppliers		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	One quote available only for the supply of durable timber but a rationale provided for same due to the very specialised nature of the product		
Innovative Element of the project:	Project will provide a unique landscape for local families and long term patients that reside at the facility that will be brought to life using the skills and experience of the trainees participating on their training course as well as CE participants who will benefit from the horticultural and landscaping nature of the work.		
Consideration of Deadweight:	The project would only progress on a much reduced basis without grant aid. The attractions would not be developed to the same level of detail and uniqueness and the project would be delayed.		
Consideration of Displacement:	There is no other similar project to Carriglea in East Munster. There are some similar elements of the project within other attractions such as Lismore Castle Gardens of which offers a different experience.		
Economic justification for project:	The project will create a wonderful unique visitor attraction for Dungarvan and the surrounding area and provide a wonderful setting for people with special needs and their families to spend time in and enjoy		
Average Score for Project:	92/100		
% level of aid & ceiling:	A maximum of €15,525.48 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.		
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding	The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend this project as presented for the following reasons:- 1. The project links to the Co Waterford Local Community Development Plan Local Objective 1 for rural tourism and Strategic Action 1.1 for tourism activities. 2. The Evaluation Committee noted the considerable skills and experience of the promoters involved in the project. 3. The project scored 92/100. 4. The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as it benefits the area by providing a unique attraction while providing a training opportunity for the long term unemployed. Recommendation: A maximum of €15,525.48 or 75 % of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser		

Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
NA	NA	€5,175.17	€10,117.53

Confirmation that agencies / communities are supportive of the proposed project.	Date of Confirmation	Signed By
LEO	16/01/2019	Brid Kirby
Failte Ireland	11/01/2019	Sarah Fitzpatrick

Detail of Discussion: The following were the main points raised in the discussion of the project:-

- Ms Claire Connors advised that Phase 1 of the project is now nearly complete and they are currently at the final payment stage. Phase 2 is the addition of extra pathways and features. Photos were circulated showing work completed to date. The standard of work to date was noted as being excellent.
- The aim of the project is to develop and open the gardens and woodlands in Carriglea as an amenity for parents of the residents and the local community. Because of changing HSE policies, certain grounds, gardens and woodlands have been vested by Carriglea Cairde Service to the project group as a Community Garden/Woodland.
- The Committee is providing training and development opportunities for unemployed through a range of projects actions. Currently they are providing facilities for CE participants to engage in horticultural and landscaping activity. They are also providing horticultural training for CE participants in conjunction with WWETB.
- The Evaluation Committee agreed that funding this project is a good use of Rural Development funds as it will provide a recreational amenity for the use of both locals and visitors to the area along with training opportunities for the CE participants.
- It was noted that the facility is not yet open to the general public as they need to get the security aspect in order first as the priority is the safety of the residents. A membership is being looked at.
- The Evaluation Committee agreed that it is a very good scheme noting that the promoters have been lucky in recruiting the supervisor and tutor.
- It was noted that it is also good value for money project as trainees are doing the work.
- It was suggested that they should consider some low lying signage explaining the wild flora and fauna.

The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project as presented.

- A maximum of €15,525.49 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.

Amount Recommended: €15,525.48

75% of Eligible Project Funding: €20,700.65

Signed: _____

Signed: _____

Chairperson of Evaluation Committee

Committee Member

Date: _____

Date: _____

Issues / Queries raised by Evaluation Committee	Project Officers Response

Signed: _____

Dated: _____

WLP Project Officer

Please insert photos below of reference purposes:

(for example a photo of the building to be renovated/machinery being purchased, etc)



Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 11th Feb 2019

Time: 11am to 3pm

Project Title: **Development of woodland walk and gardens within Carriglea II**

Project Reference Number: **311DRWAT108650**

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>9</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>4</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>4</u>		19	17
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>6</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>6</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>6</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>5</u>		25	23
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>5</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>5</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>6</u>		25	22

	- Deadweight	6/25	6			
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?			13		12
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?			18		18
Total				100		92

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? YES / NO

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: Approved

Signature: Paul O'Leary

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 11th Feb, 2018

Denise Walsh

From: pclerkin@cablesurf.com
Sent: Tuesday 19 February 2019 15:28
To: Denise Walsh
Subject: Draft Minutes of Evaluation Committee Meeting on 11th February 2019.

Hi Denise,

I have reviewed the draft minutes of this meeting and i am fully in agreement with them.

Regards,

Paul Clerkin

