

Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 6th December 2019

Time: 11.00 am

Project Title: Clonegam Church

Project Reference Number: 311DRWAT110525

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No ☒	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>5</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>5</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>5</u>		19	15
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>5</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>4</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>4</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>5</u>		25	18
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>5</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>5</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>5</u>		25	20

	- Deadweight	6/25	5			
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?				13	10
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?				18	12
Total					100	75

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? YES / ~~NO~~

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: Approval subject to conditions specified

Signature: 

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 6. 12. 2018

Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 6th December 2019

Time: 11.00 am

Project Title: Hydrotricity Limited

Project Reference Number: 311DRWAT111426

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>6</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>5</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>5</u>		19	16
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>5</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>5</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>5</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>5</u>		25	20
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>5</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>5</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>5</u>		25	20

	- Deadweight	6/25 <u>5</u>			
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?			13	12.
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?			18	15.
Total				100	83

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? ~~YES~~ / NO

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: Akron wit Endilij

Signature: 

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 06/12/19

Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 6th December 2019

Time: 11.00 am

Project Title: **New Machinery**

Project Reference Number: **311DRWAT111377**


Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>✓</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>✓</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>✓</u>		19	15
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>✓</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>✓</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>✓</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>✓</u>		25	20
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>✓</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>✓</u> - Financial Plan 6/25 <u>✓</u>		25	20

	Deadweight	6/25	5			
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?			13		11
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?			18		15
Total				100		81

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? ~~YES~~ / NO

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: _____

Signature: 
Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 06/12/19

Appendix 1

LEADER Food Initiative Evaluation Committee Scoresheet

Project Name: Legacy Irish Cider

Project Code: 31LDRWAT111374

Projects will be evaluated based on the following score criteria and assessment will be based on a maximum score of 100 and will be divided as outlined below (this replaces Appendix 11 of the LEADER 2014 – 2020 Operating Rules Consolidated updated Version 2):

Score Criteria	Weighting	Min Score
Does the project fit with the Local Development Strategy?	Pass/Fail	Pass
Does the project comply with eligibility criteria as set out in the LEADER Operating Rules?	Pass/ Fail	Pass
Deadweight: Does the project actually require LEADER funding to proceed?	Pass/ Fail	Pass
Displacement/Competition: Will the project be in direct competition with an existing local business and could it result in displacement of jobs or activities elsewhere?	Pass/ Fail	Pass
Registered Food Producer: If the project applicant is a food producer, are they a registered food producer with the relevant Competent Authority as per Regulation (EC) No 852/2004	Pass/ Fail	Pass
Innovation and Alignment with the LEADER Food Initiative Areas of funding outlined in Section 3: Has the project applicant demonstrated how the project plans to address emerging challenges through investment in market development/competitiveness and /or innovation?	20	15
Project Impacts: Has the project applicant demonstrated how the project will deliver social, economic and/or environmental added value?	20	18
Sustainability of the project: Does the business/project plan demonstrate that the project is viable and sustainable in to the future?	20	18
Applicant Background, Skills and Experience: Has the project applicant demonstrated that it has the skills and experience necessary to ensure the project is a success?	15	13
Financial Viability: Has the project applicant demonstrated the projects value for money and the projects financial viability from development through to operation?	15	11
Market: What evidence has been provided that the project is filling an identified need, market or demand?	10	7
TOTAL	100	82

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee:

Approved

J. J. J. J.

06/12/19



Signature of Committee Chairperson

Name of Chairperson in Block Capitals

Date: 06/12/19

Appendix 1

LEADER Food Initiative Evaluation Committee Scoresheet


Project Name: West Waterford Festival of Food

Project Code: 31LDRWAT111375

Projects will be evaluated based on the following score criteria and assessment will be based on a maximum score of 100 and will be divided as outlined below (this replaces Appendix 11 of the LEADER 2014 – 2020 Operating Rules Consolidated updated Version 2):

Score Criteria	Weighting	Min Score
Does the project fit with the Local Development Strategy?	Pass/Fail	Pass
Does the project comply with eligibility criteria as set out in the LEADER Operating Rules?	Pass/ Fail	PASS
Deadweight: Does the project actually require LEADER funding to proceed?	Pass/ Fail	PASS
Displacement/Competition: Will the project be in direct competition with an existing local business and could it result in displacement of jobs or activities elsewhere?	Pass/ Fail	PASS
Registered Food Producer: If the project applicant is a food producer, are they a registered food producer with the relevant Competent Authority as per Regulation (EC) No 852/2004	Pass/ Fail	PASS
Innovation and Alignment with the LEADER Food Initiative Areas of funding outlined in Section 3: Has the project applicant demonstrated how the project plans to address emerging challenges through investment in market development/competitiveness and /or innovation?	20	17
Project Impacts: Has the project applicant demonstrated how the project will deliver social, economic and/or environmental added value?	20	18
Sustainability of the project: Does the business/project plan demonstrate that the project is viable and sustainable in to the future?	20	18
Applicant Background, Skills and Experience: Has the project applicant demonstrated that it has the skills and experience necessary to ensure the project is a success?	15	13
Financial Viability: Has the project applicant demonstrated the projects value for money and the projects financial viability from development through to operation?	15	11
Market: What evidence has been provided that the project is filling an identified need, market or demand?	10	7
TOTAL	100	84

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee:



Signature of Committee Chairperson

Name of Chairperson in Block Capitals

Date: 06/12/19