



Comhpháirtíocht Leader Waterford Leader
Phort Láirge Partnership

Decision of Evaluation Committee

Project ID	31LDRWAT113732
Project Type:	Capital
Project Name:	To enable Dunmore East Sea Scouts to offer sailing
Promoters Name:	2 nd Port Dunmore East Sea Scouts, part of Scouting Ireland
Address:	4 Rockmount, Knockboy, Waterford
Description:	This project will enable the youth of Dunmore East to learn new skills in the area of sailing, teamwork and safety afloat and potentially to gain employment in the area as sailing instructors. Offering scouting and related activities to the youth in the area is vital to their health and wellbeing as successful and responsible members of their community. As this project is funded under the Cross-border programme, the Dunmore Sea Scouts will also benefit from shared experience and knowledge of a related project in Carnlough, Co. Antrim focused on coastal regeneration.
Theme:	Co-operation Cross Border – Coastal Regeneration
Sub-theme:	Co-operation Cross Border – Coastal Regeneration
Link to LDS	Promoting the exchange of good practice and knowledge between cross border areas to add value locally

Total Project Costs	€28,882.53
Eligible Project Costs	€28,882.53
Funding sought by promoter:	€21,661.89
State one of the following: - First Review / Previously Deferred or Not Recommend	First Review
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	A maximum of €21,661.89 or 74.99997% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.
Details of private funding:	€7,220.64
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No
Synopsis of project:	Dunmore East Sea Scouts are seeking funding to invest in boating and associated equipment to offer new skills to their scout members at a much more inclusive level. The Dunmore East Sea Scouts will also benefit from cross border cooperation with Mid and East Antrim who have a boat building project at Carnlough to exchange knowledge and learning as part of this coastal regeneration cross boarder project.

Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 74.99997%
	2 sailing dinghies, trailer	20,737.26	15,552.94
	1 support boat, engine, trailer	8,145.27	6,108.95
		€28,882.53	€21,661.89
Total Funding			
Was an assessment completed?: Date: Yes	17 – 08- 2020		
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes, eTenders was used and a scoring matrix used to select the chosen supplier		
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	Not applicable as the project was published on the National Procurement Website, www.etenders.gov.ie		
Innovative Element of the project:	The Dunmore East Sea Scouts are the only scouts in Ireland which promoter sea safety and maritime activity making it affordable to all children and youth		
Consideration of Deadweight:	The promoters could not undertake this project without grant support		
Consideration of Displacement:	There is no other similar scouting group to the Dunmore East Sea Scouts which access the water in Waterford		
Economic justification for project:	Grant support will greatly increase the quality of the infrastructure which the group have to offer. The cross border aspect of the project introduces another similar maritime project who can share knowledge and information and encourage joint activities		
Average Score for Project:	83/100		
% level of aid & ceiling:	A maximum of €21,661.89 or 74.99997% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.		
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding	The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 1. The project scored 83/100 2. The project fits the Local Development Plan (LDS) for supporting Co-operation projects. 3. Funding this project will teach the youth members sea safety skills which will be a lifelong benefit. A maximum of €21,661.89 or 74.99997% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.		

Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
0	0	€7,220.64	€0

Confirmation on the applicant's position re De-Minibus and double from the relevant agencies.	Date of Confirmation	Signed By

Failte Ireland	18 Aug 2020	Ivona Carr
----------------	-------------	------------

The following were the main points raised in the discussion of the project: -

- Ms Claire Connors advised that after speaking with the Dept they clarified that for cooperation projects specific rules must be followed. Different elements of the projects have different percentage rates and as the IT system can only attach one percentage rate per project the project must be split into two different projects.
- The first project is purely for the capital expenditure and is being funded at 74.99997% with grant aid of €21,661.89.
- The second project is the hosting element with WLP as the promoter. This hosting project can be funded at 100%.
- The Evaluation Committee agreed with the splitting of the original project into two and recommend both projects for approval based on the previous rational and scoring.

A maximum of **€21,661.89** or 74.99997% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser.

Amount Recommended: €21,661.89	74.99997% of Eligible Project Funding: €28,882.53
---------------------------------------	--

Signed: _____ Signed: _____

Chairperson of Evaluation Committee Committee Member

Date: _____ Date: _____

Issues / Queries raised by Evaluation Committee	Project Officers Response

Signed: _____ Claire Connors _____ Dated: _____ 29-10-2020 _____

WLP Project Officer

Please insert photos below of reference purposes:

(for example a photo of the building to be renovated/machinery being purchased, etc)

Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Date: 28/10/2020

Time: 11am - 12.30pm

Project Title: To enable Dunmore East Sea Scouts to offer sailing

Project Reference Number: SLORWAT113732.

Assessment Criteria	Objective	Issues raised by Evaluation Committee	Weighting	Score
Compatibility with Local Development Strategy	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG business plan for the relevant measure?		Yes / No	
Innovation	Is the product innovative in its nature and does it displace existing enterprises? - Product / Service 9/19 <u>8</u> - Displacement 5/19 <u>5</u> - Job Creation 5/19 <u>4</u>		19	17
Promoters experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver? - Standards of Operation 6/25 <u>5</u> - Track Record 6/25 <u>5</u> - Structure of Business 6/25 <u>5</u> - Necessary skills base 7/25 <u>6</u>		25	21
Financial Viability	Are the project costs justifiable (reasonableness of costs) and / is funding available to co-fund the project? - Overall Costs 7/25 <u>6</u> - Co Funding 6/25 <u>5</u>		25	21

	Financial Plan	6/25	\$		
	Deadweight	6/25	\$		
Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?			13	13
Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?			18	14
Total				100	83

N.B. Project must receive a minimum score of 65% prior to being recommended for approval.

- Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this project? YES / ~~NO~~
- i.e. would the project proceed without LEADER funding? ~~YES~~ / NO

Recommendations of the Evaluation Committee: Recommend Funding as presented

Signature: 

Signature of Evaluation Committee Chair

Date: 28/10/2020

Appendix 4 - LEADER COOPERATION PROJECT LAG EVALUATION COMMITTEE

This template is designed for use by the LAG Evaluation Committee when considering applications to fund LEADER Cooperation Project (Phase 3) projects.
The maximum grant available per project per LAG is €200,000.

Application Reference No.	Working Title of proposed project
31LDRWAT113732	To enable Dunmore East Sea Scouts to offer sailing

CRITERION		Yes / No	Comments
1	Does the proposed activity fit with the LAG's priorities as per the LDS?	Yes	This project fits under the Local Development Strategy for Co-operation projects
2	Have Networking activity and / or baseline scoping of the proposed activity taken place between the potential LAG Partners to merit its progression to a full Co-operation Project?	Yes	Networking activity of the proposed activity has taken place
3	If the project has been the subject of Preparatory Technical Support, has the report on this project been provided and has it indicated that the project is viable and will add value?	Yes	The report is available and has indicated the viability and added value the project will provide
4	Does the proposed activity offer potential to deliver positive outcomes for the LAG area?	Yes	Certainly more added value to the existing product offering
5	Are the potential outcomes from the proposed activity unlikely to be delivered without a joint Cooperation action?	Yes	There is already a joint cooperation plan in place outlining the joint actions
6	Has a clear and defined rationale for co-operating been provided i.e. deliver benefits over and above what each group could do on their own?	Yes	The co-operation plan outlines the benefits over and above what each group could achieve individually
7	Do the proposed actions and associated costs appear reasonable and represent value for money?	Yes	Direct tendering was used to procure the capital costs
8	Has the potential level of deadweight been assessed for this Project?	Yes	Project will not proceed without funding
9	Is the Evaluation Committee satisfied that this project will not displace existing enterprises?	Yes	Funding will be a benefit to each area and will enhance opportunities and not cause displacement

All questions must be answered and in order for the application to be approved for funding, the answer to each question must be YES (and assumed to have scored a minimum of 65%). Comments should be recorded alongside each Criterion to justify the decision.

Date of Evaluation Committee meeting:	28/10/2020	Application Reference No.	311DRWAT113732
---------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------	----------------

The (*Waterford*) LAG Evaluation Committee has considered this Application and decided that it should be: Accepted *
 *(delete as appropriate)

The rational for this decision must be recorded in the LAG Evaluation Committee minutes and the Chair of the LAG Evaluation Committee must sign below.

Signed:  _____

Name in BLOCK Capitals: Paul Clerkin Chair of LAG Evaluation Committee
 Date: 28/10/2020

Name of Evaluation Committee (if not the LAGs own Evaluation Committee): _____

Denise Walsh

From: pclerkin@cablesurf.com
Sent: Thursday 29 October 2020 12:43
To: Denise Walsh
Subject: Evaluation Committee meeting on 28th October 2020

Dear Denise,

I have reviewed the draft minutes of the Evaluation Committee Meeting held on 28th October 2020 and I am in agreement with them.

Paul Clerkin
Chairperson
WLP Evaluation Committee

