



Comhpháirtíocht Leader Waterford Leader
Phort Láirge Partnership

Decision of Evaluation Committee

Project ID	IE31WAT101387
Project Type:	Investment & other supports
Project Name:	Dungarvan Brewing Company Upgrades 2025
Promoters Name:	Dungarvan Brewing Company Ltd
Address:	Unit 5 Westgate Business Centre, Dungarvan, X35HY48
Description:	The promoter is seeking funding to invest in new technology i.e. larger fermentation tanks which will enhance the brewing process, increasing efficiency, output and leading to greater sustainability
Theme:	Theme 1 Economic Development and job creation,
Sub-theme:	1.1a Green Economy
Link to LDS	Investing in new more efficient technology to upgrade the brewing operation
Is the project at Stage 10 on the IT System Y/N	Y

Total Project Costs	€49,495		
Eligible Project Costs	€49,495		
Funding sought by promoter:	€37,121.25		
State one of the following: - First Review / Previously Deferred or Not Recommend	First Review		
Funding recommended by Evaluation Committee:	€37,121.25		
Details of private funding:	€12,373.75		
Voluntary Labour: YES / NO (If yes provide detail)	No		
Synopsis of project:	The project is investing funds in sustainable brewing practices by upgrading the size and quantity of the fermentation tanks to enhance the efficiency and consistency of production		
Detail exactly what WLP will be funding (List items from Project Assessment Report)	Detail	Total Cost (Expenditure)	Grant Aid recommend by the Evaluation Committee @ 75%
	Cylindrical conical fermentation tank – 2600 Litres x 1	€19,465	€14,598.75
	Cylindrical conical fermentation tank – 1300 litres x 2	€30,030	€22,522.50
	Total	€49,495	€37,121.25
Total Funding			

Was an assessment completed?: Date: 24.02.25	Yes
Are detailed costings provided for the project? Were the costs for the project considered reasonable and how was this measured?	Yes, direct tendering was used and the most economic advantageous tender process used to select the chosen supplier
Where the procurement process resulted in less than 3 quotes, the EC must show the additional steps taken to ensure the reasonableness of costs:	N/A
Innovative Element of the project:	The project is unique in the area and its offering and is seeking to upgrade equipment to ensure efficiency and long term sustainability
Added Value – How will the added value of LEADER funding be demonstrated in the delivery of this project?	The project will seek to incorporate sustainable practices such as energy efficiency measures, waste reduction and water conservation initiatives
Consideration of Deadweight:	The promoter has outlined that the project would proceed on a reduced basis with a longer term investment plan to enable cashflow management. In my opinion, the business would benefit from a funding intervention to increase in house capacity to increase sales. The new owner has already invested heavily in the business and the company is cash poor depending on the promoter to invest his own personal funds in to growing the business
Consideration of Displacement:	The project is not in competition with any other craft brewery given the recent closure of Metalman Brewing Company in Waterford. Their nearest competitors are located in Kilkenny and Cork
Economic justification for project:	The sustaining of 3 full time jobs and the creation of 2 new jobs
Average Score for Project and Ranking:	73/100
% level of aid & ceiling:	A maximum of €37,121.25 or 75% of eligible expenditure, whichever is the lesser
E. C. Executive Summary/Rationale for Funding	The Evaluation Committee agreed to recommend funding this project for the following reasons:- 1. Funding this project will enable the promoter to expand their production and will increase sales and help sustain and create employment. 2. This project aligns with the Local Development Plan under Theme 1 Economic development and job creation, sub theme 1a Green Economy – 1.1 Investment in new technology for existing enterprises 3. The project scored 73/100 Subject to a lease for a minimum 6-year period being provided by the promoter before any letter of offer could issue.

Jobs Created	Jobs Sustained	Match Funding	Previous Grant Aid Received
2ft	3ft	€12,373.75	€0

Confirmation on the applicant's position re De-Minus and double from the relevant agencies.	Date of Confirmation	Signed By
LEO	10.02.25	Jamie Whitty

Amount Recommended: €37,121.25	75% of Eligible Project Funding: €37,121.25
--------------------------------	---

Signed: _____

[Signature]

Chairperson of Evaluation Committee

Date: _____

25/3/2025

Date: _____

Issues / Queries raised by Evaluation Committee	Project Officers Response

Signed: _____

Clair Amos

Dated: _____ 11th March 2025 _____

WLP Project Officer

Please insert photos below of reference purposes:



Overall Evaluation Committee Scoring Record

Project Title: <u>Dungenown Brewing Company Upgrades 2025</u>	Project Reference no: <u>IESLWAT101387</u>	Date: <u>11/3/2025</u>	Time: <u>11am</u>
--	---	-------------------------------	--------------------------

Q	Assessment Criteria	Objective	Evaluation Committee comments	Weighting	Score	
1	Has project development officer report indicated that any documentation / information requested has not been provided by the project promoter(s)	Ensure that the project promoter has provided all the required information / documentation requested by project development officer to progress this project.		N/A	Pass	✓
2	Displacement	Does the project comply with displacement requirements?		N/A	Pass	✓
3	Compatibility with Local Development Strategy (LDS)	Do the project proposals meet the criteria set out in the LAG's LDS for the relevant measure?				10
4	Innovation	Is the project innovative in its nature?				12
5	Project Promoter experience	Does the promoter have the training/skills, track record or experience to deliver?				13
6	Financial Viability	Do the proposed actions and associated costs appear reasonable and represent value for money, and is adequate funding available to co-fund the project?				18
7	Sustainability	Is the project viable and will the service continue to be delivered?				12
8	Requirement	Does the proposal target a specific need or address a specific gap in the market?				3
9	Deadweight	Would the project proceed without LEADER funding?	YES NO	N/A	N/A	
	N.B. Prior to being recommended for approval, projects must: - pass question 1 and 2 - answer no to question 9 - receive a minimum score of 65%.			Total:	73	
Recommendation of the Evaluation Committee:			APPROVED			

Signature of Committee Chair: _____

Date: 11/03/2025

